

# Negative theorem of nearly monotone approximation in $L_p, 0 < p < 1$

Sukeina Abdulla Al-Bermani  
Babylon University  
Science College For Women  
Computer Science Department

## Abstract

In [2] our direct theorem we see that , when we approximate an increasing function  $f$  in  $L_p[-1,1]$  , we wish sometimes that the approximating polynomials be increasing also. However, this constraint, restricts very much the degree of approximation, that the polynomials can achieve, namely ; only the rate of  $\omega_2^p$  .

In[3] Kopotun, Leviatan and Prymak proved that relaxing the monotonicity requirement in intervals of measure zero near the end points allows the polynomials to achieve the rate of  $\omega_3^p$  .

On the other hand we show in this paper , that even when we relax the requirement of monotonicity of the polynomials on sets of measure approach zero,  $\omega_4^p$  is not reachable .

## المستخلص

[1] النظرية المباشرة في التقريب الرتيب للدوال القياسية في الفضاء  $L_p$  عندما  $0 < p < 1$  بدلالة مقياس النعومة  $\omega_2^p$  ، تبين انه من الممكن أن نقرب ألداله المتزايدة  $f$  بواسطة متعددة حدود أيضا متزايدة. إن قيد الرتبة في النتيجة أعلاه سيحدد درجة التقريب الأفضل بواسطة المتعددات الجبرية بدلالة المقياس  $\omega_2^p$  فقط ، ولتأكيد ذلك برهنا انه لا يمكن استبدال المقياس  $\omega_2^p$  للدالة  $f$  بالمقياس  $\omega_3^p$  ، لذلك قدما النظرية النقيضة للنظرية المباشرة.

[2] العالم K.A. Kopotun برهن انه عندما يجعل متعددة الحدود الجبرية التقريبية تكون غير رتيبة مع دالة الهدف في بعض الفترات الجزئية من الفترة  $[-1,1]$  التي تحتوي على النقاط الحرجة للدالة  $f$  بالإضافة إلى الفترات الجزئية التي تحتوي نهايات الفترة  $[-1,1]$  والتي تكون أطوالها مقترية إلى الصفر يمكن إن يحصل على التقريب بدلالة مقياس النعومة  $\omega_3^p$  .

برهنا انه لا يمكن استبدال مقياس النعومة  $\omega_3^p$  بالمقياس  $\omega_4^p$  حتى لو كانت المتعددة الجبرية غير رتيبة مع دالة الهدف في مجموعات جزئية من الفترة  $[-1,1]$  تقرب أطوالها إلى الصفر.

## 1. Introduction and Main result

Let  $f \in L_\rho, 0 < \rho < 1$  be increasing function on  $I = [-1, 1]$  in [2] we proved that there exists an increasing polynomials such that

$$\|f - \rho_n\|_{L_\rho(I)} \leq C(\rho) \omega_2^\rho\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\rho, \quad (1.1)$$

Where  $C(\rho)$  is an absolute constant depending on  $\rho$ , and  $\omega_2^\rho(f; \delta)$  denote the Ditzain Totik modulus of smoothness of order two of  $f$  and  $\omega_3^\rho$  is the second order Ditzain\_Totik modulus of smoothness of  $f$  defined by

$$\omega_2^\rho\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\rho = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{h\phi(\cdot)}^2\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_\rho, \delta \geq 0, [1]$$

In [4] Devore proved that if  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  be non decreasing on  $I = [-1, 1]$  there exist non decreasing polynomials such that

$$\|f - \rho_n\|_{C(I)} \leq C \omega_2\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\rho. \quad (1.2)$$

However, even this improvement comes to a halt; it can not be extended to  $\omega_4^\rho$ ; and thus not to  $\omega_k$  for any  $K > 3$ .

In order to state our theorem we need some notation.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a increasing function  $f \in L_\rho, 0 < \rho < 1$ , We denote

$$E_n^{(1)}(f, \varepsilon)_\rho = \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n \cap \Delta^1(I)} \|f - p_n\|_{L_\rho(I)}.$$

Where the infimum is taken over all polynomials  $p_n$  of degree not exceeding  $n$  satisfying

$$\text{meas} \left( \left\{ x : p_n'(x) \geq 0 \right\} \cap I \right) \geq 2 - \varepsilon$$

Then our main result in this paper is :

**Theorem I:** for each sequence  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , of non negative numbers tending to Zero, and each  $0 < \rho < 1$ , there exists an increasing function  $f = f_{\bar{\varepsilon}} \in L_\rho(I)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{E_n^{(1)}(f; \varepsilon_n)_\rho}{\omega_4^\rho\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\rho} = \infty \quad (1.3)$$

## 2. A counter example (proof of theorem I)

In this article we have used  $C$  as an absolute constant which may differ on different occurrences, in this paper we will have to keep track of the constants, therefore we denote them by  $C_1, C_2, \dots$ . We begin by recalling some simple properties of the Chebyshev polynomials for

the interval  $[-2, 2]$ , for  $v > 1$  let  $t_v(x) = \cos v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}, x \in [-2, 2]$ .

Denote to the Chebyshev polynomial and let  $Z_j = 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{v}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, v$ , be its extrema, In fact :

$$t_v(x) = \cos\left(v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

$$t'_v(x) = -\sin\left(v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}\right) \left( v \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{v \sin\left(v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2}}$$

$$t'_v(x) = 0$$

$$v \sin\left(v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$\sin\left(v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2} = \sin^{-1} 0$$

$$v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2} = j\pi, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2} = \frac{j\pi}{v}, \frac{x}{2} = \cos \frac{j\pi}{v}$$

$$Z_j = 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{v}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Given  $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ , we take two points on both sides of  $Z_j, j = 1, \dots, v-1$ ,

namely, we set

$$Z_{j,l} = 2 \cos\left(\frac{(j+b)\pi}{v}\right) \text{ and } Z_{j,r} = 2 \cos\left(\frac{(j-b)\pi}{v}\right),$$

$$|t_v(Z_{j,l})| = |t_v(Z_{j,r})| = \cos \pi b.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{j,r} - Z_{j,l} &= 2 \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{v} - \frac{b\pi}{v}\right) - 2 \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{v}\right) \\ &= 4 \sin \frac{j\pi}{v} \sin \frac{b\pi}{v} \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $\sin u \leq u$ ,  $u \in [0, \pi]$ , so that

$$Z_{j,r} - Z_{j,l} < 4\pi \frac{b}{v} \quad (2.1)$$

We truncate the Chebyshev polynomial by setting

$$t_v^*(x) = t_{v,b}^*(x) = \begin{cases} \cos \pi b & t_v(x) > \cos \pi b \\ -\cos \pi b & t_v(x) < -\cos \pi b \\ t_v(x) & O.W. \end{cases}$$

$$\sin ce \quad 1 - \cos \pi b = 2 \sin^2 \frac{b\pi}{2} < 5b^2 \quad (2.2)$$

For any  $x \in I$ , it follows by the monotonicity of the areas as we go away from the origin, and the alternation in sign of these areas, that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, l}}^{Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, r}} (t_v(u) - t_v^*(u)) du \right| &\leq \int_{Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, l}}^{Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, r}} |t_v(u) - t_v^*(u)| du \\ &\leq \left| Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, r} - Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, l} \right| \sup |t_v(u) - t_v^*(u)| \\ &\leq 4\pi \frac{b}{v} (t_v(u) - t_v^*(u)) \\ &\leq 4\pi \frac{b}{v} (1 - \cos \pi b) \\ &< 4\pi \frac{b}{v} 5b^2 \\ &= c_1 \frac{b^3}{v}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{Now } \sin ce [0, x] \subset \left[ Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, l}, Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, r} \right] \subset I = [-1, 1]$$

Then

$$\left| \int_0^x (t_v(u) - t_v^*(u)) du \right| \leq \left| \int_{Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, l}}^{Z_{\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \rfloor, r}} (t_v(u) - t_v^*(u)) du \right| < 4\pi \frac{b}{v} 5b^2 = c_1 \frac{b^3}{v} \quad (2.4)$$

Where we applied (2.1)

Now , given  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$  ,let  $v = \left[ b_n^{\frac{3}{4}} \right] + 2$  , where  $[a]$

denotes the largest integer not exceeding a .put

$$t_{v,b} = t_v + \cos \pi b, \text{ and } \tilde{t}_{v,b} = t_{v,b}^* + \cos \pi b.$$

Finally

$$T_{v,b}(x) = \int_0^x t_{v,b}(u) du \text{ and } f_{n,b}(x) = \int_0^x \tilde{t}_{v,b}(u) du, x \in I$$

let  $x_1 < x_2$

$$f_{n,b}(x_1) = \int_0^{x_1} \tilde{t}_{v,b}(u) du < \int_0^{x_2} \tilde{t}_{v,b}(u) du = f_{n,b}(x_2).$$

Obviously  $f_{n,b}$  is a increasing function on I and it readily by (2.4) that

$$\|f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}\|_p = \left( \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_0^x \tilde{t}_{v,b}(u) du - \int_0^x t_{v,b}(u) du \right|^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}| &= \left| \int_0^x (\tilde{t}_{v,b}(u) - t_{v,b}(u)) du \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^x (t_{v,b}^*(u) + \cos \pi b - t_{v,b}(u) - \cos \pi b) du \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^x (t_{v,b}^*(u) - t_{v,b}(u)) du \right| \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{b^3}{v} = \frac{C_1 b^3}{\left[ b_n^{\frac{3}{4}} \right] + 2} \leq C_1 \frac{b^3}{b_n^{\frac{3}{4}}} = C_1 \frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}\|_p &\leq \left( \int_{-1}^1 \left| C_1 \frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} \right|^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} \left( \int_{-1}^1 du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_1 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}\|_p \leq C_1 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{b^3}{v} = C_1 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{b^3}{\left[ \frac{b^3}{b^4 n} \right] + 2} \leq C_1 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{b^4}{n} \quad (2.5)$$

Where we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(J)}$  the quas - norm taken on the interval  $J$ , and when the norm is on  $J$ . We suppress the subscript,

$$\text{If we set } \tilde{Z}_{j,L} = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left( j + \frac{b}{2} \right) \pi}{v} \right), \text{ and } \tilde{Z}_{j,r} = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{2} \right) \pi}{v} \right),$$

Then we have for all  $j$  for which  $Z_j \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{j,r} - Z_j &= 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{2} \right) \pi}{v} \right) - 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{v} \\ &= 2 \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} - \frac{b\pi}{2v} \right) - 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{v} \\ &= 2 \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} - \frac{b\pi}{4v} - \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) - 2 \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} - \frac{b\pi}{4v} + \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) \\ &= 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{4} \right) \pi}{v} - \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{4} \right) \pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) \\ &= 4 \sin \left( \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{4} \right) \pi}{v} \right) \sin \frac{b\pi}{4v} \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $(\sin b\pi/4 > 3b/4)$  for  $b$  satisfying

$$b\pi/4 < \pi/6 \text{ if } 0 < b < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \sin u \geq \frac{2}{\pi} u, \quad u \in \left[ 0, \frac{\pi}{2} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{j,r} - Z_j &= 4 \sin \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{4} \right) \pi}{v} \sin \frac{b\pi}{4v} \\ &\geq 4 \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\left( j - \frac{b}{4} \right) \pi}{v} \right) \frac{3b}{4} = \frac{6 \left( j - \frac{b}{4} \right) b}{v} > \frac{b}{v} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_j - \tilde{Z}_{j,l} &= 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{v} - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left(j + \frac{b}{2}\right)\pi}{v} \right) \\
&= 2 \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{4v} - \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) - 2 \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{4v} + \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) \\
&= 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left(j + \frac{b}{4}\right)\pi}{v} - \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\left(j + \frac{b}{4}\right)\pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{4v} \right) \\
&= 4 \sin \frac{\left(j + \frac{b}{4}\right)\pi}{v} \sin \frac{b\pi}{4v} \\
&\geq 4 \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\left(j + \frac{b}{4}\right)\pi}{v} \frac{3b}{4} = \frac{6\left(j + \frac{b}{4}\right)b}{v} \right) > \frac{b}{v} \quad (4.2.6')
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $j$  be odd and since  $\sin b\pi/4 > 3b/4$

For  $b$  satisfying  $b\pi/4 < \pi/6$ , we have

$$T'_{v,b}(x) = t_{v,b}(x) \leq -\cos b\pi/2 + \cos b\pi, x \in [\tilde{Z}_{j,l}, \tilde{Z}_{j,r}].$$

For example

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{v,b}(x) &= t_v(x) + \cos \pi b \leq t_v(\tilde{Z}_{j,l}) + \cos \pi b = \cos v \cos^{-1} \frac{2 \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{2v} \right)}{2} + \cos \pi b \\
&= \cos v \left( \frac{j\pi}{v} + \frac{b\pi}{2v} \right) + \cos \pi b \\
&= \cos \left( j\pi + \frac{b\pi}{2} \right) + \cos \pi b \\
&= -\cos \frac{b\pi}{2} + \cos \pi b
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$-\cos \pi b / 2 + \cos \pi b = -\sin b\pi / 4 \sin 3b\pi / 4$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} -\cos \pi b / 2 + \cos \pi b &= -\cos \left( \frac{b\pi}{4} - \frac{3b\pi}{4} \right) + \cos \left( \frac{b\pi}{4} + \frac{3b\pi}{4} \right) \\ &= -2 \sin \frac{b\pi}{4} \sin \frac{3b\pi}{4} \\ &< -2 \frac{3b}{4} \frac{3b}{2} = -\frac{9b^2}{4}, x \in \left[ \tilde{Z}_{j,l}, \tilde{Z}_{j,r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{v,b}(x) = t_{v,b}(x) &\leq -\cos b\pi / 2 + \cos b\pi = -2 \sin b\pi / 4 \sin 3b\pi / 4 \\ &< -2 \frac{3b}{4} \frac{3b}{2} = -\frac{9b^2}{4}, x \in \left[ \tilde{Z}_{j,l}, \tilde{Z}_{j,r} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Then since  $I \subset [-2, 2]$ , it follows by the Bernstein inequality

$$\left( \left\| p'_n \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^2}} \left\| p_n \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \right) \text{ that}$$

$$\left\| T_{v,b}^{(4)} \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} = \left\| t_{v,b}^{(3)} \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} = \left\| t_v^{(3)} \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \leq \frac{C_2 V^3}{\left( 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left\| t_v \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} = C_3 V^3,$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T_{v,b}^{(4)} \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} &= \left\| \frac{d}{dx} \left( \int_0^x t_{v,b}^{(3)}(u) du \right) \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} = \left\| t_{v,b}^{(3)} \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \\ &= \left\| t_v^{(3)}(u) \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \leq C_2 V^3 \left\| \frac{1}{\left( \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^2} \right)^3} t_v \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \\ &\leq \frac{C_2 V^3}{\left( \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2} \right)^3} \left\| t_v \right\|_{L^p[-2,2]} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{C_2 V^3}{\left( \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2} \right)^3} \left( \int_{-2}^2 \left| \cos v \cos^{-1} \frac{x}{2} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C_3 V^3 4^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_4^\varphi\left(f_{n,b}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_p &= \omega_4^\varphi\left(f_{n,b} - T_{v,b} + T_{v,b}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_p \\
&\leq \omega_4^\varphi\left(f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_p + \omega_4^\varphi\left(T_{v,b}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_p \\
&\leq C(p)\|f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}\|_p + \frac{C(p)}{n^4}\|T_{v,b}^{(4)}\|_p \\
&\leq C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} + \frac{C(p)v^3}{n^4} \\
&= C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} + C(p)\frac{\left(\left[b^{\frac{3}{4}}n\right] + 2\right)^3}{n^4} \\
&\leq C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} + C(p)\frac{\left(b^{\frac{3}{4}}n\right)^3}{n^4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} + C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}n^3}{n^4} \\
&= C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} + C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} \\
&= C(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n} = C_1(p)\frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n}, \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we need a simple lemma

**Lemma (2.9)** there exists a constant  $C_4$  such that  
**For any interval  $J \subseteq I$ , We have the following .**

**For any measurable sets  $E \subseteq I$ , if**

$$p'_n(x) \geq 0, x \in J \setminus E, \tag{2.10}$$

**Then**

$$\|f_{n,b} - p_n\|_{L_p(J)} > \frac{b^2|J|}{n} - \frac{C_4}{n} \left( b^{\frac{9}{4}} + b|E| + \frac{b^{\frac{5}{4}}}{n} \right). \tag{2.11}$$

**Proof :** let  $J_o$  denote the middle third of  $J$  . We consider two cases . First we assume that  $J_o$  contains at most one of the  $Z_j$ 's. Then by the definition of  $v$  we get

$$|J| < C_5 \frac{1}{v} < C_5 \frac{b^{-3}}{n} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\frac{b^2 |J|}{n} < C_5 \frac{b^2 b^{-3}}{n^2} = C_5 \frac{b^4}{n^2}$$

$$\frac{b^2 |J|}{n} - C_5 \frac{b^4}{n^2} < 0$$

Then by (2.11) we have

$$\|f_{n,b} - p_n\|_{lp(J)} \geq 0 > \frac{b^2 |J|}{n} - C_5 \frac{b^4}{n^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand, if  $J_o$  contains at least two extremes of  $Z_j$ , then it contains at least  $2C_6 v|J|$  extrema, for some constant  $C_6$ , these extremes satisfy (4.2.6) and (4.2.6') and about half of them (and at least one) have odd indices, then together with (4.2.6) we conclude that

$$meas \left( J_o \left\{ x : T'_{v,b}(x) < -\frac{9b^2}{4} \right\} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{2b}{v} C_6 v|J| = C_6 b|J|, \quad (2.14)$$

Now, if  $C_6 b|J| \leq |E|$ , then

$$\|f_{n,b} - p_n\|_{L^p(J)} \geq 0 > \frac{b^2 |J|}{n} - \frac{b|E|}{nC_6}, \quad (2.15)$$

Other wise,  $C_6 b|J| > |E|$ .

Then by (4.2.14) there is a point  $x_o \in J_o \setminus E$ , for which

$$T'_{v,b}(x_o) < -\frac{9b^2}{4}$$

Hence, (4.2.10) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{9b^2}{4} \leq -T'_{v,b}(x_o) < p'_n(x_o) - T'_{v,b}(x_o) &\leq \frac{2}{|J|^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2}} \|p_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|J|} \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2}} \|p_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)} \end{aligned}$$

Where we used the Bernstein inequality

Therefore from (4.2.6)

$$\frac{9b^2}{4} \leq \frac{2n}{|J|\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2}} \|P_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)} = \frac{2n}{|J|\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}} \|p_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)}$$

$$\frac{|J|\sqrt{2}}{3n} \cdot \frac{9b^2}{4} \leq \|p_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)}$$

$$\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \frac{b^2 |J|}{n} \leq \|p_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b^2 |J|}{n} &\leq \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \frac{b^2 |J|}{n} \leq \|p_n - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)} \\ &\leq \|P_n - f_{n,b}\|_{L^p(J)} + \|f_{n,b} - T_{v,b}\|_{L^p(J)} \\ &\leq \|P_n - f_{n,b}\|_{L^p(J)} + C_1(p) \frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|f_{n,b} - p_n\|_{L^p(J)} \geq \frac{b^2 |J|}{n} - C_1(p) \frac{b^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n}, \quad (2.16)$$

Taking  $C_4 = \max\left\{C_5, \frac{1}{C_6}, C_1(\rho)\right\}$ , (2.11) now follows by combining

(2.13), (2.15) and (2.16) ♣

We are now in a position to define  $f_{\bar{\varepsilon}} = f$ , for a given sequence  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_n\}$ .

Let  $b_n = \left(\max\left\{\varepsilon_n^2, \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}}$ , and set  $d_0 = 1$  and

$$d_j = \frac{bn_j^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n_j} d_{j-1} = \prod_{v=1}^j \frac{bn_v^{\frac{9}{4}}}{n_v}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

Where the sequence  $\{n_v\}$  is defined by induction as follows. First, we

choose  $n_1$  so large that  $b_{n_1}^{\frac{1}{8}} < \frac{1}{12}$  (as needed in (2.18) below) and  $J_0 = I$ .

suppose that  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\sigma-1}\}$  and

$J_{\sigma-2} \subseteq J_{\sigma-3} \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_0, \sigma \geq 2$ , have been defined

Then put

$$F_{\sigma-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} d_{j-1} f_{nj}, b_{nj},$$

And let  $J_{\sigma-1}$  be an interval such that  $J_{\sigma-1} \subseteq J_{\sigma-2}$  and

$$F'_{\sigma-1}(x) = 0, x \in J_{\sigma-1} \quad (2.17)$$

Let  $N_{1,\sigma}$  be such that

$$|J_{\sigma-1}| \geq b_n^{\frac{1}{8}}, n \geq N_{1,\sigma} \quad (2.18)$$

And let

$$N_{2,\sigma} = \left( \frac{\|F_{\sigma-1}^{(2)}\|_{L^p(J_{\sigma-1})}}{d_{\sigma-1}} \right)^{10} \quad (2.19)$$

Finally, we take

$$n_\sigma > \max \{n_{\sigma-1}, N_{1,\sigma}, N_{2,\sigma}\}$$

So big that the function  $f'_{n_\sigma b_{n_\sigma}}$  Oscillates a few times inside the interval

$(J_{\sigma-1})$  and since it vanishes on some interval in each

Oscillation, that is, inside  $J_{\sigma-1}$ , there exists an interval  $J_\sigma \subset J_{\sigma-1}$  as required in (2.17)

Now denote

$$\Phi_\sigma = \sum_{j=\sigma}^{\infty} d_{j-1} f_{n_j b_{n_j}}$$

Where the convergence of the series is justified by the definition of the  $d_j$ 's and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n,b_n}| &= \left| \int_0^x t_{v,b_n}(u) du \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^x |t_{v,b_n}(u)| du \\ &= \int_0^x |t_v(u) + \cos \pi b| du \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^x du \leq 2 \int_0^1 du = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\|\Phi_\sigma\|_{L^p(J_\sigma)} \leq 8d_{\sigma-1} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\|\Phi_\sigma\|_{L^p(J_\sigma)}^p = \left\| \sum_{j=\sigma}^{\infty} d_{j-1} f_{n_j b_{n_j}} \right\|_{L^p(J_\sigma)}$$

$$\leq 2 \sup \left| \sum_{j=\sigma}^{\infty} d_{j-1} f_{n_j b_{n_j}} \right|$$

In fact

$$\leq 2 \sup \sum_{j=\sigma}^{\infty} d_{j-1} \|f_{n_j b_{n_j}}\|$$

$$\leq \left( d_{\sigma-1} \left( 1 + \frac{bn_\sigma^{9/4}}{n_\sigma} + \frac{bn_\sigma^{9/4}}{n_\sigma} \frac{bn_{\sigma+1}^{9/4}}{n_{\sigma+1}} + \dots \right) \right) (2^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d_{\sigma-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} (2^2) \\
&= 8d_{\sigma-1}
\end{aligned}$$

So we define

$$f = f_{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{j-1} f_{nj} b_{nj}$$

And we prove

**Lemma(2.21)** For each  $\sigma \geq 1$  we have

$$\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} \leq C_2(\rho) d_{\sigma} \quad (2.22)$$

**Proof :** First , by (2.20)

$$\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( \Phi_{\sigma+1}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} \leq C_3(\rho) \|\Phi_{\sigma+1}\|_{L^{\rho}(J_0)} \leq C_3(\rho) d_{\sigma} \quad (2.23)$$

At the same time , (4.2.8) yields

$$\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( d_{\sigma-1} f_{n_{\sigma}} b_{n_{\sigma}}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} \leq d_{\sigma-1} C_4 \frac{b_{n_{\sigma}}^{9/4}}{n_{\sigma}} = C_4 d_{\sigma} \quad (2.24)$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( F_{\sigma-1}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} &\leq C_4(\rho) \omega_2^{\varphi} \left( F_{\sigma-1}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} \\
&\leq \frac{C_5(\rho)}{n_{\sigma}^2} \|F_{\sigma-1}^{(2)}\|_{L^{\rho}(J_0)} \\
&= C_5(\rho) \frac{\|F_{\sigma-1}^{(2)}\|_{L^{\rho}(J_0)}}{d_{\sigma-1}} n_{\sigma}^{-1/10} \left( \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}^{2/5} b_{n_{\sigma}}} \right) d_{\sigma}^{9/4} \\
&= C_5(\rho) N_{2,\sigma}^{\frac{1}{10}} n_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{10}} \left( \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}^{2/5} b_{n_{\sigma}}} \right)^{9/4} d_{\sigma} \\
&< C_5(\rho) n_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{10}} n_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{10}} \left( \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}^{2/5} b_{n_{\sigma}}} \right)^{9/4} d_{\sigma} \\
&\leq C_5(\rho) \left( \frac{1}{\frac{1}{b_{n_{\sigma}}} b_{n_{\sigma}}} \right)^{9/4} d_{\sigma} \\
&= C_5(\rho) d_{\sigma}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

By virtue of (2.19) and the definition of  $d_{n_{\sigma}}, d_{\sigma}$  and  $n_{\sigma}$  .

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} &\leq \omega_4^{\varphi} \left( \Phi_{\sigma+1}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} + \omega_4^{\varphi} \left( d_{\sigma-1} f_{n_{\sigma}} b_{n_{\sigma}}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} + \omega_4^{\varphi} \left( F_{\sigma-1}, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho} \\
&\leq C_3(\rho) d_{\sigma} + C_4 d_{\sigma} + C_5(\rho) d_{\sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

Now Then Lemma (2.21) follows by combining (2.23) , (2.24) and (2.25) ♣  
The last Lemma that we need is

**Lemma (2.26)** There is an absolute constant  $C_7$  such that whenever  $E \subset I$  is a measurable set satisfying

$$|E| \leq \varepsilon_{n_\sigma}, \quad (2.27)$$

And  $\rho_{n_\sigma}$  is a polynomial satisfying

$$\rho'_{n_\sigma}(x) \geq 0, x \in I \setminus E, \quad (2.28)$$

Then

$$\|f - \rho_{n_\sigma}\|_{L^p(I \setminus E)} \geq (b_{n_\sigma}^{-1/8} - C_7)d_\sigma, \quad (2.29)$$

**Proof :** by (2.14) we have  $F_{\sigma-1}$  is constant on  $J_{\sigma-1}$ , we may write

$$f(x) = d_{\sigma-1} f_{n_\sigma, b_{n_\sigma}}(x) + \Phi_{\sigma+1}(x) + M, x \in J_{\sigma-1} \quad (2.30)$$

$$\text{Let } Q_{n_\sigma} = \frac{1}{d_{\sigma-1}}(\rho_{n_\sigma} - M)$$

Then it follows from (2.28)

$$Q'_{n_\sigma}(x) \geq 0, x \in J_{\sigma-1} \setminus E$$

Thus by virtue of Lemma (2.9)

$$\|Q_{n_\sigma} - f_{n_\sigma, b_{n_\sigma}}\|_{L^p(J_{\sigma-1})} \geq \frac{b_{n_\sigma}^2 |J_{\sigma-1}|}{n_\sigma} - \frac{C_4}{n_\sigma} \left( \left( b_{n_\sigma}^{9/4} + b_{n_\sigma} |E| \right) + \frac{b_{n_\sigma}^{5/4}}{n_\sigma} \right)$$

The definition of  $n_\sigma$  and (2.17)

$$b_{n_\sigma}^2 |J_{\sigma-1}| = b_{n_\sigma} \left( \frac{|J_{\sigma-1}|}{b_{n_\sigma}^{1/8}} \right) \geq b_{n_\sigma}^{17/8}$$

On the other hand , (2.24) and the definition

$$\text{Of } b_{n_\sigma} \text{ imply } \varepsilon_{n_\sigma} \leq b_{n_\sigma}^{5/4}$$

and

$$b_{n_\sigma} |E| \leq b_{n_\sigma} \varepsilon_{n_\sigma} \leq b_{n_\sigma}^{9/4}$$

$$\text{Since } b_{n_\sigma} \geq \left( \frac{1}{n_\sigma} \right)^{2/5}$$

Then

$$\frac{5/4}{b_{n\sigma}} \leq b_{n\sigma} < b_{n\sigma}^{9/4}$$

Hence (2.28) implies

$$\|Q_{n\sigma} - f_{n\sigma}, b_{n\sigma}\|_{L\rho(J_{\sigma-1})} \geq \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \left( b_{n\sigma}^{17/8} - 3C_4 b_{n\sigma}^{9/4} \right) = \frac{b_{n\sigma}^{9/4}}{n_{\sigma}} \left( b_{n\sigma}^{-1/8} - 3C_4 \right)$$

In other words .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{n\sigma} - M - d_{\sigma-1} f_{n\sigma}, b_{n\sigma}\|_{L\rho(J_{\sigma-1})} &\geq d_{\sigma-1} \frac{b_{n\sigma}^{9/4}}{n_{\sigma}} \left( b_{n\sigma}^{-1/8} - 3C_4 \right) \\ &= d_{\sigma} \left( b_{n\sigma}^{-1/8} - 3C_4 \right), \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.30) , follows from (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \rho_{n\sigma}\|_{L\rho(I)} &\geq \|f - \rho_{n\sigma}\|_{L\rho(J_{\sigma-1})} \geq \|\rho_{n\sigma} - M - d_{\sigma-1} f_{n\sigma}, b_{n\sigma}\|_{L\rho(J_{\sigma-1})} - \|\Phi_{\sigma+1}\|_{L\rho(J_{\sigma-1})} \\ &\geq \left( \left( b_{n\sigma}^{-1/8} - (3C_4 + 8) \right) d_{\sigma} \right), \end{aligned}$$

And Lemma (2.26) is proved with  $C_7 = 3C_4 + 8$

The proof of (.1.3) now follows from Lemmas (2.21)and(2.26) since

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_n^{(1)}(f, \varepsilon_n)_{\rho}}{\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{\rho}} &\geq \limsup_{n_{\sigma} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{n_{\sigma}}^{(1)}(f, \varepsilon_{n_{\sigma}})_{\rho}}{\omega_4^{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \right)_{\rho}} \geq \limsup_{n_{\sigma} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f - \rho_{n_{\sigma}}\|_{L\rho}}{C_2(\rho) d_{\sigma}} \\ &\geq \lim_{n_{\sigma} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{C_2(\rho)} \left( b_{n_{\sigma}}^{-1/8} - C_7 \right) = \infty \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] E. S. Bhaya (2003): On constrained and unconstrained approximation. Ph. D. Thesis. Baghdad university, College of Education Ibn - Al-Haitham.
- [2] - E. S. Bhaya, S. A. Al-Bermani (2008): Inverse and Direct Theorem for Monotone Approximation. Proceeding of the first regional conference for purr and application Sciences, Al-Kufa , 175.
- [3]- K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, A. Prymak (2006): Nearly monotone spline approximation in  $L_p$ . Proceeding of the American math. Soc. Voo,N.O; P 1-10.
- [4]- R. A. DeVore (1977): Monotone approximation by polynomials.

SIAMJ. Math. Anal., 8; 906-921.